

Of Rocks at the Bottom of the Pacific

"VOTE THESE MISERABLE SNAKES OUT OF POWER"

by [Joseph DeMaio](#), ©2023



<https://twitter.com/cspan/status/1667249235471880193>

(Jun. 11, 2023) — Your humble servant has just awakened from a 24-hr. semi-coma. That temporary infirmity came as a direct result of watching and listening to Department of Justice (*sic*) Special Counsel Jack Smith discussing the [indictment](#) that his office has filed against former President Donald J. Trump and one of his aides, Waltine Nauta. Smith actually [claimed](#), and this is a quote: “Adherence to the rule of law is a bedrock principle of the Department of Justice, and our nation’s commitment to the rule of law sets an example for the world. We have one set of laws in this country, and they apply to everyone.”

Seriously? I mean..., **SERIOUSLY??**

Fortunately, your servant was sitting on a couch when he was assaulted by those lies, so when he fell unconscious, he just keeled over into a soft-cushioned horizontal position, but stayed on the couch. Feeling better now..., thanks, but still thunderstruck by the arrogant lies belched by Mr. Smith in woefully misplaced defense of his indictment.

Yes, Virginia, your read that right. The words spilling from Mr. Smith’s mouth were not true, and he knew they were not true. Accordingly, they were, by definition, “lies” rather than “truths.” For the purposes of this offering, the merits or deficiencies of the indictment are immaterial. To his singular credit, Smith did concede that Trump and

Nauta enjoyed a “presumption of innocence.” Whether the allegations are proven true in court before a jury or whether the defendants are exonerated is immaterial.

On the other hand, that which is *exceedingly* material is Smith’s blatant prevarications that (a) the DOJ considers the “rule of law” to be one of its “bedrock principles” and (b) that we have “one set of laws in this country” that “apply to everyone.” Let us review Smith’s words.

First, there is the enormity of the Biden Crime Family (“BCF”) investi..., oh, sorry..., there is no *real* investigation of the BCF going on at either the DOJ(*sic*) or the FBI. Nor is there any “progress” taking place in any investigation of Brandon’s own unlawfully-possessed classified documents scandal. Tell me again that the *de facto* immunization of the BCF and Brandon himself from any meaningful investigation by the DOJ (*sic*) or the FBI equates to “one set of laws” being applied equally “to everyone.”

I’ll wait.

Second, Smith’s contention that this nation’s “commitment to the rule of law sets an example for the world” makes sense only if the example is intended to destroy a nation by converting it into a banana republic where hypocrisy, double standards and [Volksgerichtshof](#) tyranny dominate. Nice job, Biden, Garland, Smith.

A major investigation into Hillary Clinton's use of a private email server while she was secretary of state found no evidence of deliberate mishandling of classified information.



‘Hillary’s Gone Crazy’: Trump Defends Tuli Gabbard after Clinton Criticism

demands to “lock up crooked Hillary”—however, Trump made no mention of the findings on Friday.

The finding by the U.S. State Department, [released on Friday](#) by Republican Senator Chuck Grassley’s office, follows a three-year investigation, for which Clinton handed over roughly 33,000 emails.

SUBSCRIBE NOW FROM JUST \$1 >

Assertions of wrongdoing had been a major cornerstone of President Donald Trump’s 2016 election campaign—with repeated chants and

<https://www.newsweek.com/hillary-clinton-cleared-wrongdoing-private-email-probe-1466426>

Third, let us return again to the destruction of emails, attached scanned documents and host devices by Hillary (“BleachBit Bimbo”) Clinton following her departure as Secretary of State under Barack Obama. Oh, and recall that the documents and devices that Clinton destroyed – or which were destroyed by others at her command (some might speculate, with conspiratorial intent) – were *already* under congressional subpoena,

discussed [here](#) and [here](#). Those are not “careless mistakes.” Instead, they are all *felonies* under federal law. Yet no prosecutions or indictments were forthcoming.

Recall that this “get out of jail free” card was issued to the BleachBit Bimbo by then-FBI Director James Comey after then-U.S. Attorney General Loretta Lynch had recused herself from the “investigation.” The recusal occurred following her contemporaneous Phoenix International Airport tarmac meeting with the Bimbo’s “husband,” Slick Willie. On Lynch’s government plane parked on the tarmac, they cordially [discussed](#) grandchildren, travels and Willie’s golfing in Phoenix.

Right.

Faithful P&E readers, for a really illuminating “blast from the past,” make sure you read the 9/6/16 and 9/8/16 articles by Byron York and Andrew McCarthy linked above detailing the perfidies committed by Clinton, her lawyers and her aides. These “careless mistakes” were, of course under the “rule-of-law-conscious” FBI – then [headed](#) by James (“we-did-it-anyway”) Comey – deemed to be minor infractions as to which “no reasonable prosecutor would [file charges](#).” Actually, there were many “[reasonable prosecutors](#)” who would have indicted Slick Willie’s spouse.



[srikanthingilela](#), [Pixabay](#), [License](#)

These people are snakes. Not harmless king or garden snakes: venomous cobras, rattlers and moccasins. They care as much about the rule of law and “Equal Justice Under Law” – as chiseled into the Vermont marble over the public entrance to the Supreme Court Building – as does a rock at the bottom of the Pacific Ocean. As proof of that, listen again to Jack Smith’s contention that “[w]e have one set of laws in this country, and they apply to everyone.”

Ummmm..., no, they don't. And Smith knows it. That is why his contrary assertion is a lie.

To be precise, the laws are *supposed* to apply to everyone..., but they don't. Smith also asserted in his prevaricating defense of the indictment against President Trump and his aide that “a [*sic*: the?] laws that protect national defense information are critical to the safety and security of the United States, *and they must be enforced.*” (Emphasis added)

Really?

If that were true, why was the Bimbo let off *other* than because she was “Hillary” and a Democrat? Are we *sure* that no emails, scanned documents or other digital data housed on the already subpoenaed devices – again, destroyed at her direction – contained “national defense information?” And apart from “national defense information,” are we *sure* that no emails documenting other criminal acts or conspiracies – such as discussed [here](#), [here](#), [here](#) and [here](#) – were not also destroyed. *Really* sure?



Inquiring minds need to know..., but rest assured, faithful *P&E* readers, they will find *zero* help discovering the truth while Brandon, Merrick Garland and Jack Smith are in power. Oh, and Christopher Wray, too.

The legal solution (illegal solutions are much to be disfavored) is to vote *every* Democrat – without exception – out of office at the earliest opportunity..., and never again vote them back into power. And as for Jack Smith's timing of the indictment, someone should ask him why it does not constitute a direct attempt to interfere with the 2024 election, since the statute of limitations on the “crimes” he has alleged to have been committed are nowhere near expiring.

Vote these miserable snakes out of power ASAP lest they complete their goal of completely sinking the ship of state.